18.212: Algebraic Combinatorics

Andrew Lin

Spring 2019

This class is being taught by **Professor Postnikov**.

February 25, 2019

If we have questions about the problem set, we can ask. The official office hours are right after this class on Mondays, but we can also schedule other times.

A few bonus problems will be added to make the problem set more interesting.

Last week, we talked about *q*-binomial coefficients and *q*-factorials, which are special cases of another quantity:

Definition 1

The *q*-multinomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[n_1]_q! [n_2]_q! \cdots [n_r]_q!}$$

can be defined for $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ and all $n_i \ge 0$.

Note that
$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1, 1, \dots, 1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$
 is just $[n]_q!$, and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r, n-r \end{bmatrix}_q$ is just $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Definition 2

A **multiset** is like a regular set, but we allow entries to appear multiple times. For example, we can have 1 appear n_1 times, 2 appear n_2 times, and so on: this will be abbreviated as

$$S = \{1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \cdots, r^{n_r}\}.$$

So now let's consider $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ as a permutation on the multiset S. We define an inversion very similarly:

Definition 3

An inversion in w is a pair of indices (i, j) where $1 \le i < j \le n$ and $w_i > w_j$. We also define inv(w) to be the number of inversions in w.

Then the main theorem is very similar:

Theorem 4

For any q-multinomial coefficient,

$$\begin{bmatrix}n\\n_1,\cdots,n_r\end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_w q^{\mathrm{inv}\,w}$$

where the sum is taken over all permutations of $\{1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \cdots, r^{n_r}\}$.

The proof is similarly by induction, and it is an exercise on the problem set! As a corollary, we know that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n_1, \dots, n_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ is a polynomial in q with positive integer coefficients. The degree of this polynomial is the maximum number of inversions, which happens when we write everything in weakly decreasing order: this is just

$$d = \sum_{1 \le a < b \le r} n_a n_b.$$

Similarly, we also know that the coefficients are symmetric: $a_i = a_{d-i}$. This follows from the fact that we can just flip the whole sequence around! Basically,

$$inv(w_1, \dots, w_n) = d - inv(w_n, w_{n-1}, \dots, w_1),$$

since any pair of distinct entries is an inversion in one or the other and d is the total number of pairs of distinct entries. By the way, if our multiset only contains 1s and 2s, so $S = \{1^k 2^{n-k}\}$, there is a correspondence between permutations of S and Young diagrams $\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)$.

Example 5

Let w = (2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2, 1): transform this into a lattice path, going up when we see a 1 and right when we see a 2.



Then the number of squares $|\lambda|$ corresponds to the number of inversions, since we can just match the corresponding 2 and 1!

What if we do r = 3? We can think of this as a lattice path in a 3-dimensional box, and we go up, right, or into the page each time we see a 1, 2, 3 respectively. It's not quite as clean, though.

Let's move on to a new idea!

Let [n] be the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Given any permutation w, we can think of it as a bijective map

 $w:[n] \rightarrow [n].$

We can multiply such maps or take compositions: that's how we multiply permutations! These permutations form a group S_n , called the symmetric group.

Fact 6

Stanley's book uses \mathfrak{S}_n instead of S_n .

There's several different ways we can notate permutations:

name	notation	example				
1-line notation	(w_1, \cdots, w_n)	(2, 5, 7, 3, 1, 6, 8, 4)				
2-line notation	$\left \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_n \end{array} \right $	$\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$				
Cycle notation	$(a_1a_2a_3)\cdots$	(125)(3784)(6)				

Cycle notation is the most important here: we keep following the permutation until we get back to a point we've already been at. Trivial cycles like (6) are sometimes omitted, and they're called **fixed points** of w.

There's two more: in graphical notation, draw arrows from numbers to where they go. This forms closed polygons. Finally, we have **matrix notation** (a_{ij}) where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = w(i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which is an $n \times n$ matrix. Here, the matrix is "either this one or the transpose:"

	/0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0\
<i>w</i> =	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0/

We want the one where multiplication works with permutations.

Fact 7

Exercise: is this one the correct one?

Notice that this corresponds to **rook placement** on a chessboard! Place rooks where there are 1s, so there are no rooks attacking each other. There are many problems about non-attacking rook placements, and we'll talk about them later in this class.

What we're going to discuss next is statistics on permutations! Basically, we'll somehow map

$$A: S_n \to \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, \}$$

and form a generating function

$$F_A(x) = \sum_{w \in S_n} x^{A(w)}.$$

Definition 8

Two statistics A and B are **equidistributed** if they have the same generating function.

Here are some common statistics that are studied:

- Number of inversions inv(w)
- The length of a permutation $\ell(w)$, defined to be the minimum number ℓ of **adjacent transpositions** (of the form s_i , switching *i* and *i* + 1 but not 1 and *n*) needed to express *w*.

It's a fact that we can write any permutation as a sum of adjacent transpositions: just induct on n by switching n into the last spot.

Example 9

We can switch $123 \rightarrow 213 \rightarrow 231 \rightarrow 321$, so $\ell(321)$ is at most 3. It is in fact 3, and this is also the number of inversions.

This is not a coincidence!

Theorem 10

For any permutation w, the length of w is also the number of inversions of w.

So those two statistics aren't just equidistributed: they're actually the same statistic. Let's go back quickly to the generating function and do the proof more carefully:

Theorem 11

The generating function

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\text{inv}\,w} = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) + \dots + (1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}) = [n]_q!.$$

Proof. This is true by induction on *n*. This holds for n = 1, and now let's say it holds for n - 1.

There are *n* permutations that can be created by extending an element of S_{n-1} : just put the *n* somewhere inside. Those *n* insertions add $n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1, 0$ inversions respectively, so this is

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} q^{\mathsf{inv}(w)} = \sum_{u \in S_{n-1}} q^{\mathsf{inv}(u)} (1 + q + q^2 + \dots + q^{n-1} = [n-1]_q! [n]_q = [n]_q!,$$

as desired.

Back to statistics:

• The number of cycles in w, denoted cyc(w), including fixed points.

For example, w = (2, 5, 7, 3, 1, 6, 8, 4) in cycle notation is (125)(3784)(6), so cyc(w) = 3. Note that by degree arguments, this can't be equidistributed with the number of inversions!

Theorem 12

For any *n*,

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} x^{\text{cyc}(w)} = x(1+x)(2+x)\cdots(n-1+x).$$

Proof. Let's do this by induction. Write our permutations in cycle notation, and let's say we insert *n* into our permutation. It can either be inserted into one of the existing permutations, or it can go by itself.

There are n-1 ways to insert into an existing spot and keep the number of cycles the same, since it does matter where we insert n into an existing cycle, and 1 way to add a new cycle. That's exactly the ((n-1)+x) that we want!

MIT OpenCourseWare <u>https://ocw.mit.edu</u>

18.212 Algebraic Combinatorics Spring 2019

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <u>https://ocw.mit.edu/terms</u>.